

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,998	12/14/2001	Paul A. Kline	CRNT-0034	4988
	7590 12/08/200 GAL GROUP, LLC	EXAMINER		
1100 River Bay	Road		LI, SHI K	
Annapolis, MD 21409			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/016,998	KLINE, PAUL A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shi K. Li	2613	

		SIII K. LI	2013	
The MAILING	G DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 N</u>	lovember 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
application, applica	after a final rejection, but prior to or on ant must timely file one of the following r ition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe mination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	eply expiresmonths from the mailing			
no event, howev Examiner Note: I MONTHS OF TH	eply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar er, will the statutory period for reply expire la If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date founder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is call set forth in (b) above, if check	obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of purposes of determining the period of exticulated from: (1) the expiration date of the socked. Any reply received by the Office later ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of	eal was filed on A brief in comp Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter as been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	as been med, any reply must be med wi	idilit the time period set forth in or	οι τι - τ.ο <i>τ</i> (α).	
(a) They raise no	endment(s) filed after a final rejection, be we issues that would require further cor he issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
appeal; and/				ne issues for
NOTE:	t additional claims without canceling a c (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
_	are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	nas overcome the following rejection(s):		Caral Clad and and	
non-allowable clain	_	_	•	_
how the new or am	opeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [nended claims would be rejected is provelaim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entered and an e	xpianation of
Claim(s) objected t	 o: 1,3,4,6,14-18,20-24,27-29,33-39,41,43-	-4 <u>6 and 50</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER</u>	n from consideration: EVIDENCE			
because applicant	er evidence filed after a final action, but failed to provide a showing of good and sented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered because th	er evidence filed after the date of filing and ne affidavit or other evidence failed to or d sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or ot REQUEST FOR RECON	her evidence is entered. An explanatior <u>ISIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for re See Continuation 	econsideration has been considered but Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached13. ☐ Other:	I Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/OF: 17.17		
		/Shi K. Li/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2613	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that routing data and a router are fully disclosed in the specification. However, instant specification fails to teach using a transformer bypass device together with a router. The Applicant argues that the above statement seems irrelevant to claims 20 and 36 which do not claim a transformer bypass device. However, claim 20 recites a first interface port, a second interface port and a third interface port. To the understanding of the Examiner, these three ports corresponding to the three ports of the power line bridge 301 of FIG. 3 of instant specification. Claim 46, which indirectly depends on claim 36, recites "a power line bridge" that is equivalent to a transformer bypass device. The Applicant argues that FIG. 6 depicts the fiber optical interface device 203 (which may perform routing) and the power line bridge 301 (a transformer bypass device). However, the interface device 203 routes data to the power line bridge and does not route data to "one of a plurality of communication devices located in one of a plurality of customer premises".

Regarding claim 20, the Applicant argues that FIG. 6 supports the third interface port. However, FIG. 6 does not support "a modem in communication with the fiber optic transceiver and the second interface port".

The Applicant argues "Brown1 fails to disclose a fiber optic transceiver as claimed. The components shown Figure 13 comprise a coaxial/fibre interface unit 138 and an amplifier (e.g. a broadband amplifier) 140. Col. 8, lines 52-57. Applicant submits that these components form an electro-optical converter and not a transceiver as claimed." The Examiner disagrees. A coaxial/fiber interface unit receives data from the fiber network, converts optical signal to electrical signal and transmits the data to the coaxial network in electrical format; the coaxial/fiber interface unit also receives data from the coaxial network, converts electrical signal to electrical signal and transmits the data to the fiber network in optical format. Therefore, inherently, the coaxial/fiber interface unit contains transceivers.

The Applicant argues "router 124 shown in Figure 1 of Dhara is connected to the Internet and CMTS 120. There is no disclosure in Dhara of routing data [] to one of a plurality of communication devices located in one of a plurality of customer premises as required by the claims." First, instant specification admits on page 11 that fiber optic interface device may function as a router, well known to those skilled in the art, to distinguish data that are sent to various customer premises. Second, the router of Dhara performs the same function as routing data to various customers. Therefore, the combination of the references reads on the claimed invention.

The Applicant argues "The office action relies on TX/RX unit 1310 of Figure 13 of Brown2 for disclosure of this claim element. However, it is clear from Figure 13 that TX/Rx unit 1310 is not coupled to the low voltage power line and, therefore, does not comprise a transformer bypass device as claimed." The Examiner disagrees. Brown2 clearly shows in FIG. 13 that the TX/RX unit is coupled to L.V. supply, where L.V. stands for low voltage (400 V).

The Applicant argues "The office action cites to TX/RX unit 1310 of Figure 13 for disclosure of all of the components at the distribution transformer. The TX/RX unit 1310 is shown schematically in figure 12 as component 1203 does not include a modem 1204, a router, or fiber optic transceiver. Thus, none of prior art references relied upon disclose the subject matter of this claim element." The Examiner use FIG. 13 of Brown2 to illustrate that the idea of colocating various devices are well known in the art.

The Applicant argues "The office action and states 'Brown1 teaches in Figure 2 transceiver/modem between the network conditioning unit (corresponding to 136 of Figure 13) and optical network.' Conditioning unit 136 comprises a low pass filter and a high pass filter as is indicated by the symbols of the figure (see also Figure 11 b which includes labels). Thus, the arrangement of Figure 13 fails to disclose a modem. The arrangement of Figure 2 of Brown1 fails to disclose any fiber optic communications. Thus, the Examiner is inappropriately combining components from different embodiments of Brown1 (by combining the embodiments of Figures 2 and 13). In other words, Brown1 fails to disclose a modem in combination with a fiber optic transceiver as claimed." It appears that the Applicant argues that the conditioning unit is not a modem. However, FIG. 2 of Brown1 teaches transceiver/modem. The transceiver/modem of FIG. 2 is represented in FIG. 13 by the coaxial/fiber interface unit.

The Applicant argues "However, co-locating the components at the transformer does not shorten any wiring carrying hazardous voltages. Thus, the reason to combine the four prior art references is insufficient." "Colocate" means to locate together; esp. to place two or more units close together so as to share common facilities. FIG. 13 of Brown2 clearly illustrates such approach.

The Applicant alleges that the Office Action fails to state a valid reason to combine multiple references to reject many of the claims and requests the Examiner provide a reason to combine the references that does not use hindsight to allow Applicant an opportunity to refute such reasoning. The Examiner is afraid that he cannot fulfill Applicant's request. First, as admitted by Applicant, KSR states that there still must be a reason to combine. Neither KSR nor Applicant provides a clear guideline as what is consider a "valid" reason. While the Examiner has tried his best to provide convincing reasons for combining the references in the Office Actions, whether such reasons are acceptable by or have convinced the Applicant is out of the control of the Examiner..